

	Trials	Mean follow-up	Population	Risk, hx, age	Intervention	A1C: baseline → final	Results
Type 1 (T1DM)	DCCT 1	~6.5yrs; n=1,441 {Conducted between 1983-1993.} {note 1° & 2° endpoints, as well as 1° & 2° cohorts.}	T1DM; mean age 27 (13-39)yr; BMI=27 Excluded: if CVD, ↑BP, ↑TC, complications. 1° & 2° cohorts (2° if 1-15yr hx, existing mild-mod retinopathy & microalbuminuria; 1°: 1-5yr hx)	Intensive insulin (3+ inj/day or pump) with target A1C of <6.05% (44% achieved once, but only 5% maintained), preprandial BG 3.9-6.7mmol/L, PPBG <10mmol/L, weekly 3AM BG >3.6mmol/L vs Standard insulin (1-2 inj/day)	Int. vs Std.: 8.8% → 7.4% vs 9.1% {Pre-prandial mean BG Int. vs Std. 8.6 vs 12.8mmol/L (↑ Wt 4.6kg/5yr)}	Endpoint 1° or 2° 1. Retinopathy: 1° ↓3.5 NNT=29, 2° ↓4.1 NNT=24 2. Microalb.: 1° ↓1.2 NNT=83, 2° ↓2.1 NNT=48 2. Macroalb.: 1° ↓0.1 NS, 2° ↓0.8 NNT=125 2. Neuropathy@5yr: ↓6.7 NNT=15, ↓9.1 NNT=11 Hypoglyc SEVERE: ↑43 NNH=2.3; ↑Hosp 7.6% vs 4.9%	
	DCCT / EDIC 2	~17yrs; n=1,394 for CV	93% of DCCT in follow-up till Feb05. age 45; BMI=28; 24yr hx	As above, but 94% of standard group changed to intensive insulin.	7.4% → 7.9% 9.1% → 7.8%	↓ CV events (nonfatal MI, CV death, stroke, angina, revascularization) 5.8% vs 10.3% NNT=23/17yr CI=12-352. (RRR=42% ↓)	
Type 2 (T2DM)	UKPDS-33 3	~10yrs; n=3,867	New T2DM; Age 54; with FPG 6.1-15 on diet alone	Intensive SU or insulin vs diet. Target FBG <6mmol/L vs <15mmol/L	median 7% → 7% over 10yr vs 7.9%	↓ microvascular endpoints NNT=42/10yr; mostly retinal ↓ CV events* ↓ hypoglycemia esp insulin	
	UKPDS-34 9	~10.7yrs; n=1,704	Obese T2DM; Age 53 Wt=87kg; BMI=31	Metformin 1700mg am, 850mg pm vs conventional (diet mostly)	7% → 7.4% median/10yr vs 8%	↓ diabetes endpoint NNT=10/0yr (RRR=32%) ↓ all-cause death NNT=14/10yr; ↓ stroke NNT=48/10yr	
	Kumamoto 10	6yrs; n=110	Japanese with 2° & without 1° retinopathy; UAE<300mg/24hr	Multiple insulin injection tx (MIT) vs conventional insulin tx (CIT)	9.2-9.4 → 7.1 vs 8.9 → 9.4	↓ early microvascular complications (retinopathy [2+ steps on 19 step scale]; nephropathy & neuropathy)	
	PROACTIVE 11	~2.9yrs; n=5,238	High CV risk; Age 61; BMI=30; A1C ≥ 6.5	Pioglitazone 45mg po daily vs Placebo (>10% higher rate of insulin use)	7.8% → 7% vs 7.5%	1° composite-no effect; 2° ↓ CV events NNT=50/2.9yr ↑ wt 3.6kg/yr; ↑ HF NNH=31/2.9yr & edema.	
	ACCORD 12	~3.5yrs; n=10,251; ↑ death @5yr & ↑ CV death @9yr flw	High CV risk; ~10yr hx T2DM; Age 62; 93kg; North American	Intensive A1C target <6% {most on 3 OAHAs + insulin} vs standard A1C target 7-7.9%	8.1% → 6.4% vs 7.5% 7.2% vs 7.6% @5yrs	↑ all-cause death ↑ 22% in intensive group at 3.5yr resulted in halting trial (NNH=95/3.5yr); also severe hypoglycemia (NNH=93/3.5yr) & ↑ weight 3.5 vs 0.4kg	
	ADVANCE 13	Follow-up ADVANCE-on-10yr ~5yrs; n=11,140 No macrovas benefit @ ≥ 5yr follow-up	Hx of CVD; 8yr hx T2DM; age 66; 78kg; Austral-Asian/European	Intensive A1C target 6.5% {most on SU (gliclazide MR) + MF} vs standard A1C target ~7%	7.5% → 6.5% vs 7.3%	↓ microvascular events/5yrs, NNT=67/5yr; post-hoc analysis ↓ ESRD NNT=410/5yr overall. ↑ severe hypoglycemia NNH=83/5yr; minimal wt change	
	STENO-2 14	n=160, T2DM & microalbuminuria; multifactorial intensive (A1C <6.5% <20% achieved @13yrs, 8.4 → 7.7%; BP, lipid, ACEI, ASA) vs conventional tx for 7.8yr + 5.5yr flw; ⇒ ↓ death. NNT=5 / 13.3yr p=0.02, ↓ macro & microvascular events. (Only 1 pt achieved all 5 targets at 13yrs), 21yr flw ⇒ 7.9yrs gained					
	ADDITION-Europe 32	n=3057 new T2DM, age ave ~60; 5.3yrs; multifactorial intensive (A1C, BP, ACEI, TC, lifestyle) ⇒ slightly improved surrogates (A1C, LDL, BP) but non-significant ↓ in CV events/death (7.2% vs 8.5%; HR 0.83, 95% CI: 0.65-1.05) & microvasc complications.					
	UGDP 15	(1971) n=1027; ~8yrs; T2DM. Tolbutamide ↑ CV mortality 2.9x; Phenformin ↑ CV ax & all cause mortality. Insulin, even with adjustable dosing was no better than diet alone, but no harms. Results criticised e.g. ↑ death in more poorly controlled, etc. 13 yr follow-up.					
	VADT 2008 16	n=1791, ~5.6yr, Age ~60yr, 3° mostly, T2DM x 11.5yr; 40% CAD Hx veterans. Intensive vs standard A1C Achieved: 6.9 vs 8.4%. NS effect: CV event, death 102 vs 96 or microvascular complications; but ↑ SAE 17.6 vs 24.1% eg. hypoglycemia. ↑ CVD risk if DBP < 70. 10yr follow-up: some ↓ CV events, not mortality. 2015					
	ORIGIN 17	n=12,537, 6.2yr, Age ~63yr, 3° ~63%, early x ~5.5yr T2DM >80%, or pre-diabetes; 59% CAD Hx. Early basal insulin glargine vs standard non-glargine, A1C 6.4 → 6.5 vs 6.2%. NS effect: CV death & non-fatal MI/stroke; ↓ delay new DM NNT=13/6.2yrs; ↑ hypoglycemia, ↑ wt 2kg; ⇒ CA [x2.2 factorial n-3 fatty acids NS]					
	SAVOR-TIMI 53 33	n=16,492, 2.1yr, Age ~65, T2DM hx ~10yr + CVD/risk, A1C 8 → 7.7%; saxagliptin 5mg po daily vs PL; CV neutral. Harms: ↑ hospitalization for HF NNH=143.					
	EXAMINE 34	n=5,380, ~1.5yr, Age ~61, T2DM hx ~7yr + recent ACS event, A1C 8 → 7.7%; alogliptin 25mg po daily vs PL; CV neutral. Harms: none. SAE ↔.					
	TECOS 35	n=14,671, ~3yr, Age ~65, T2DM hx ~12yr + CVD, A1C 7.2 → 6.9%; sitagliptin 100mg po daily vs PL; CV neutral. Harms: none. SAE ↔.					
ELIXA 36	n=6068, ~2.1yr, Age ~60, T2DM hx ~9.3yr + recent ACS event, A1C 7.7 → 7.4%; lixisenatide 10-20mcg SC daily; CV neutral; ↓ wt 0.7kg; ↑ AE leading to DC NNH=24; SAE ↔						
SUSTAIN-6 37	n=3297, ~2.1yr, Age ~65, T2DM hx ~14yr + CVD/risk, CKD, A1C 8.7 → 7.3-7.8%; semaglutide 0.5-1mg SC/wk; ↓ CV events NNT=43; ↓ wt 3.4kg; ↑ retinopathy NNH=83.						
LEADER 38	n=9340, 3.8yr, Age ~64, T2DM hx ~13yr + CVD/risk, CKD, A1C 8.7 → 7.6 vs 8%; liraglutide 0.6-1.8mg SC daily vs PL; Benefits 3.8yr: ↓ CV or death NNT=53, ↓ all-death NNT=72, ↓ wt 2.3kg, ↓ nephropathy NNT=67, ↓ severe hypoglycaemia NNT=111; Harms: ↑ gallbladder dx NNH=84, ↑ AE leading to DC (mostly GI) NNH=46. SAE ↔						
EMPA-REG 39	n=7020, ~3.1yr, Age ~63; T2DM hx 57% >10yr; + CVD; A1C 8% → 7.5% at 12wk & 7.8% overtime; empagliflozin 10mg or 25mg po daily; Benefits /3.1yr: ↓ CV event NNT=63, ↓ all-death NNT=39, ↓ wt ~1-2kg, ↓ AE leading to DC NNT=48, ↓ SAE NNT=24; Harms: genital infection ♀ NNH=14, ♂ NNH=29. Benefit similar with 10mg dose as 25mg. Of note: benefit seen at relatively high A1C levels (7.5-7.8%); BP was slightly lower in empagliflozin group (3-4 / 1-2).						
T2DM Prevention	FDPS 17	4yr, n=522, Age ~55; Intensive lifestyle vs control. (Detailed, individualized counseling with nutritionist; individualized exercise circuit. Goal setting. 1°: incident diabetes (4yrs): 11% vs 23%, RRR= 58%, HR = 0.4 (0.3-0.7) NNT/4yrs = 8; 10yr follow-up saw no effect on CV or total mortality					
	DPP (Diabetes Prevention Project) 18	2.8yr, n=3,234; Age ~51. Arms: 1) Intensive lifestyle: ↓ wt by 7% (diet, exercise, education/behaviour modification); 2) Lifestyle + MF 850mg po BID; 3) Lifestyle + placebo; 4) Troglitazone (stopped early due to liver toxicity). Intensive lifestyle best, followed by MF. Outcomes vs lifestyle + placebo: 1) Intensive lifestyle: NNT= 7 / 2.8yrs for intensive lifestyle (RRR: 58%; 71% age 60+); 2) MF: NNT= 14 / 2.8yrs for MF (RRR: 31%) Other outcomes of interest: Weight ↓: 5.6kg Lifestyle, 2.1kg MF, 0.1kg (p<0.001), 10yr follow-up: delays diabetes ⇒ lifestyle by 4yr, MF by 2yr, 15yr follow-up: MF benefit persists.					
	IDPP 19	India 2.5yr, n=531. Lifestyle vs MF 250mg po BID vs control; 1°: incident diabetes (2.5yrs): lifestyle 39.3%, NNT=6; MF 40.5%, NNT=7; 55% control.					
	Stop-NIDDM 20	3.3yr, n=1,429. Acarbose 100mg TID vs placebo (also encouraged exercise; met with dietitian); Benefits: ↓ T2DM & ↓ CV events; 1°: incident diabetes (3.3yrs): 32.4% vs 41.5%; NNT=11 / 3.3 yrs (↓ CV events 2.5%; NNT=40)²¹. Harms: GI AEs 83% vs 60% & stopped Tx: 31% vs 19%					
	XENDOS 22	Orlistat 120mg TID vs placebo, weight loss study; also ↓ calorie diet & ↑ physical activity; high drop-out rate, ↑ GI AEs. incident diabetes NNT=36/4yrs					
	DREAM-Rosi 23	3yr, n=5,269; Rosiglitazone 8mg po daily vs pl; {RCT stopped 5months early due to ↓ diabetes NNT=7/3yr; but trend ↑ CV events, HR=1.37, CI 0.97-1.94}					
	DREAM-Rami 24	3yr, n=5,269; Ramipril 15mg po daily (start 5mg/d x2 months, then ↑10mg/d till 1yr) vs pl. 1°: incident diabetes or death: 18.1% vs 19.5% NS					
NAVIGATOR 25	Nateglinide: no ↓ in progression to diabetes or ↓ CV event. Valsartan ↓ diabetes RR 14% but no CV benefit (5 yr)						

Summary of RCT Outcome Evidence

Type 1 Diabetes (T1DM) (ENDIT, nicotinamide & DPT-1 low-dose insulin not effective in T1DM prevention)
 ↓ in microvascular complications in initial 6.5yrs (1° endpoint: retinal surrogates) (mostly ↓ retinal Δ on fundus photo 3 steps / 25 stage scale, microalbuminuria & neuropathy)
 ♦ a 10% relative reduction in A1C (regardless of what the initial A1C value was) resulted in a 43% relative risk ↓ in progression of retinopathy & a 25% relative risk ↓ in microalbuminuria. (Substantially less at lower A1C level.)
 ♦ ↑ severe hypoglycemia including coma/ seizures NNH=9/100pt-yr & hospitalizations 54 vs 36
 ♦ ↓ in macro- & micro-vascular GFR complications in long-term follow up ~17yrs; ↓ mortality ~27yrs NNT=37; but limitations such as unmasking & intermediate endpoints bias results.

Type 2 Diabetes (T2DM)
 ♦ intensive glucose control may ↑ or ↓ risk depending on type of patient & treatment [e.g. in ACCORD, intensive A1C lowering associated with ↑ death; no benefit in VADT; ADVANCE, not quite as intensive tx ok; + UKPDS 33,34 show variability between tx choice, extent of ↓ A1C & outcomes.]
 ♦ BG control ⇒ microvascular benefit ADVANCE, ADVANCE-ON & UKPDS; not ACCORD
 ♦ metformin - in new, obese T2DM: ↓ CV events & all-cause death without ↑ weight or hypoglycemia UKPDS-34, 80; - ↓ CV events vs glipizide SPREAD-DIMCAD
 ♦ empagliflozin - in those with established CV disease: ↓ CV events & all-cause death EMPA-REG (only SGLT-2 inhibitor drug studied; positive outcomes)
 ♦ liraglutide - in established CV disease or high risk: ↓ CV events & all-cause death LEADER; Scale (Semaglutide also ↓ CV events, but lixisenatide neutral.)
 ♦ gliptins (DPP-4i): neutral on CV outcomes; however some variability re harms: saxagliptin & CV events "↔", but ↑ admission for HF SAVOR-TIMI 53;
 ♦ pioglitazone ↓ CV events (2° outcome, statistical concerns)⁶, but ↑ HF, wt, fracture. SR-Liao (rosiglitazone: ↑ HF, wt, fractures; uncertain CV outcomes (neutral in RECORD, but limitations: see online) 31
 ♦ macrovascular benefits seen with multifactorial approach to Tx -lifestyle, ↓ smoking, diet, exercise, BP, ACEI, statin, ASA, A1C <6.5% STENO-2 -statin therapy { simvastatin 40mg/d HPS; atorvastatin 10mg/d CARDS } -ACEI & ↓ BP { ramipril 10mg/d MICROHOPE }. ? lifestyle alone ineffective/10yr Look AHEAD

Type 2 Diabetes (T2DM) - PREVENTION (see Online Extras)

- Intensive Lifestyle Interventions ✓**
 - Most effective intervention for patients with IGT
 - How intensive was *intensive lifestyle*?
 - Individualized counseling/education important
 - Weight loss: goal of at least 5-7% (& up to 10%)
 - Exercise: moderate, 150 minutes/wk or 30 minutes/day
 - Diet: healthy, low calorie, low fat (<30% of total kcal & <10% saturated fat), ↑ fibre (>15g/1000kcal). [Chinese 6yr study & 23yr follow-up: ↓ death NNT=10 Da Qing DPS]
- Pharmacological Options** (+ some lifestyle measures)
 - Effective but less so than intensive lifestyle*
 - Metformin (MF) 250-850mg po BID (Meta-analysis⁴)
 - ♦ 6 trials, n=3119, abd obesity, IGT, family hx: ↓ time to diabetes onset ≤ 3yrs; NNT=12.5 CI: 9.1-20 {Most effect if age <60yr}
 - Orlistat 120mg po TID
 - ♦ Effective if able to tolerate GI side effects; high cost >\$150/mo
 - Acarbose 100mg po TID (CV benefit did not persist)
 - ♦ Effective if able to tolerate GI side effects; high cost >\$120/mo
 - Not Effective or Harm/Outcome Concerns*
 - Ramipril: not effective; valsartan ↓ diabetes RR 14%, not CV
 - Glitazones (Rosi- & Pio- glitazone ACT NOW n=602, 2.4yrs, IRS): effective delay, not prevent after D/C; concerns {↑ wt, edema, ↑ HF, ↑ fracture, (& ? CV Rosi)}⁵,⁶
 - Nateglinide: ↑ risk of hypoglycemia without any benefits

*Prevention strategies utilizing drugs have potential to harm otherwise healthy people; knowledge of long-term efficacy, safety & impact on healthcare resources need to be established.
 Of note: early intensive insulin Tx (x2 wks) may induce remission in some new T2DM.
 † Death 30.3 → 26.8 per 1000 patient-yrs; MF vs control: † Death 33.1 → 25.9 per 1000 patient-yrs.
 ‡ 2hBG=2hr blood glucose BMI=body mass index CV=cardiovascular FBG=fasting blood glucose HC=hypercholesterolemia HF=heart failure hx=history IGT=impaired glucose tolerance MF=metformin NS=non-sig PPBG=post-prandial blood glucose SAE=serious adverse events SU=sulfonylurea Tx=treatment wt=weight yr=year
 Links: GDA Professional: <http://guidelines.diabetes.ca/fullguidelines> ADA Type 2 diabetes: http://care.diabetesjournals.org/content/37/Supplement_1.toc AACE Prediabetes link²⁷ NICE T2DM: <http://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/CG87> COMPUS: link²⁸ Ann Int Med: link²⁹

EXTRAS Page for Diabetes Landmark Outcome Trials: Glycemic Control & Prevention Summary

T2DM "Prevention" Trials <i>Pre-diabetes</i>		Intervention	Results (Note: <i>delay</i> may be better term than <i>prevent</i>)	Summary (Note: "prevention of DM" is a non-clinical outcome.)	
Effective Options	FDPS 4yr, n=522 (Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study)	Age 40-65 (mean 55); BMI ≥25 (mean 31); IGT (a FBG < 7.8mmol/L; 2hBG >7.8 but <11 mmol/L)	Intensive lifestyle vs control {Lifestyle: detailed, individualized counseling with nutritionist; individualized exercise circuit. Goals: ↓ wt >5%, fat <30% of all energy, fibre >15g/1000kcal, & moderate exercise > 30 minutes/day.}	3) Intensive Lifestyle Interventions ✓ a. Most effective intervention for patients with IGT b. How intensive was <i>intensive lifestyle</i>? i. Individualized counseling/education important ii. Weight loss: goal of at least 5-7% (& up to 10%) iii. Exercise: moderate, 150 minutes/wk or 30 minutes/day iv. Diet: healthy, low calorie, low fat (<30% of total kcal & <10% saturated fat), ↑ fibre (>15g/1000kcal). [Chinese 6yr study & 23yr follow-up: ↓ death NNT=10 Da Qing DPS] 4) Pharmacological Options (+ some lifestyle measures) a. Effective but less so than intensive lifestyle* i. Metformin (MF) 250-850mg po BID (Meta-analysis ³⁰) ♦ 6 trials, n=3119, abd obesity, IGT, family hx: ↓ time to diabetes onset ≤ 3yrs; NNT=12.5 CI: 9.1-20 (Most effect if age <60yr) ii. Orlistat 120mg po TID ♦ Effective if able to tolerate GI side effects; high cost >\$150/mo iii. Acarbose 100mg po TID (CV benefit did not persist) ♦ Effective if able to tolerate GI side effects; high cost >\$120/mo b. Not Effective or Harm/Outcome Concerns* i. Ramipril: not effective; valsartan ↓diabetes RR 14%, not CV ii. Glitazones (Rosiglitazone ACT NOW n=802, 2 yrs; RIS); effective delay, not prevent after D/C; concerns {↑wt, edema, ↑HF, ↑fracture, (& ?CV Rosi)} ^{31,32} iii. Nateglinide: ↑ risk of hypoglycemia without any benefits *Prevention strategies utilizing drugs have potential to harm otherwise healthy people; knowledge of long-term efficacy, safety & impact on healthcare resources need to be established. ³³ Of note: early intensive insulin Tx (x2 wks) may induce remission in some new T2DM. ³⁴	
	DPP 2.8yr, n=3,234 (Diabetes Prevention Project) [Troglitazone arm stopped early due to liver toxicity ³⁵]	Age >25 (mean 51); BMI≥24 (mean=34); IGT (FBG of 5.3-6.9 mmol/L, 2hBG of 7.8-11 mmol/L.) 68% ♀; ~45% ethnic	Intensive lifestyle* n=1079 Lifestyle+ MF 850mg po BID n=1073 Lifestyle + placebo n=1082, OR *{Lifestyle: ↓ weight by 7% (healthy diet & exercise ≥ 150 minutes/week), & 16 individualized lessons, covering diet, exercise & behaviour modification. [Low-cal diet: ↓450kcal/day ave, e.g. 1500kcal/d for 80-95kg ☺]}		1°: incident diabetes (2.8yrs): 4.8 cases/100 person yrs for intensive lifestyle 7.8 case/100 person yr MF; 11 case/100 person yr placebo, ♦ NNT= 7 / 2.8yrs for lifestyle (RRR: 58%; 71% age 60+) ♦ NNT= 14 / 2.8yrs for MF (RRR: 31%) Weight ↓: 5.6kg Lifestyle, 2.1kg MF, 0.1kg (p<0.001) 10yr follow-up: delays diabetes → lifestyle by 4yr, MF by 2yr
	IDPP (India) 2.5yr, n=531	Mean age 46; BMI 26 IGT – in Asian Indians	Lifestyle vs MF 250mg po BID vs control		1°: incident diabetes (2.5yrs): lifestyle 39.3%, NNT=6 ; MF 40.5%, NNT=7 ; 55% control
	Stop-NIDDM 3.3yr, n=1,429	Age 40-70 (mean 54); IGT (2hBG ≥ 7.8 & <11.1mmol/L; FBG of 5.6-7.7 mmol/L).	Acarbose 100mg TID vs placebo {also encouraged exercise; met with dietitian}		1°: incident diabetes (3.3yrs): 32.4% vs 41.5%; NNT=11 / 3.3 yrs {↓CV events 2.5%; NNT=40} ³⁶ {GI AEs 83% vs 60% & stopped Tx: 31% vs 19%}
	XENDOS 4yr, n=3,305	Age 30-60;(mean 43); BMI≥30; no CVD; 21% had IGT	Orlistat 120mg TID vs placebo (weight loss study) {also ↓calorie diet & physical activity encouraged.} {High drop-out rate.}		2°: incident diabetes: 6.2% vs 9% NNT=36/4yrs ; ↓ diabetes in IGT subgroup only 18.8% vs 28.8%; NNT=10 {1°: ↓wt 5.8kg vs 3kg; ↑ GI AE's: 91% vs 65%/1yr}
	DREAM-Rosi 3yr, n=5,269 {Canoe Rosi 2mg+MF500mg bid n=207 3.5yr, NNT=4}	Age ≥30 (~55); IGT +/- IFG or IFG Mean FBG=5.8mmol/l	Rosiglitazone 8mg po daily vs placebo {Trial stopped 5months early due to ↓diabetes; but ↑CV event rate approaching statistical significance.}		1°: incident diabetes or death: 11.6% vs 26%; NNT=7/3yrs (driven by diabetes; no difference in death); CV events: 2.9% vs 2.1% HR=1.37; CI 0.97-1.94
DREAM-Rami 3yr, n=5,269	No DM or CVD (eligibility expanded during trial)	Ramipril 15mg po daily (start 5mg/d x2 months, then ↑10mg/d till 1 yr) vs placebo	1°: incident diabetes or death: 18.1% vs 19.5% NS {↔CV event rate 2.6% vs 2.4%}		
NAVIGATOR 5yr	IGT & ↑CV risk/disease	Nateglinide: no ↓ in progression to diabetes or ↓CV event. Valsartan ↓diabetes RR 14% but no CV benefit.			

2hBG=2hr blood glucose BMI=body mass index CV=cardiovascular FBG=fasting blood glucose HC=hypercholesterolemia HF=heart failure hx=history IGT=impaired glucose tolerance MF=metformin NS=non-sig PPBG=post-prandial blood glucose SAE=serious adverse events SU=sulfonylurea Tx=treatment wt=weight yr=year **Links:** CDA Professional: <http://guidelines.diabetes.ca/fullguidelines> ADA Type 2 diabetes: http://care.diabetesjournals.org/content/37/Supplement_1.toc AACE Prediabetes link37 NICE T2DM: <http://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/CG87> COMPUS: link38 Ann Int Med: link39

Other Trials of Interest

- ♦ **EXAMINE:** alogliptin after ACS in T2DM – alogliptin not inferior to placebo for major CV in high-CV risk patients. White WB, Cannon CP, Heller SR, Nissen SE, et al; the EXAMINE Investigators. Alogliptin after Acute Coronary Syndrome in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes. N Engl J Med. 2013 Sep 2.
- ♦ **IRIS:** pioglitazone after stroke in patients with insulin resistance. For every 100 patients with recent history of stroke, transient ischemic attack (TIA) and insulin resistance, but NOT diabetes, giving pioglitazone 45mg daily for ~5 years will result in approximately 3 less cases of stroke or MI, 4 less cases of diabetes, 2 extra cases of serious bone fracture, 7 extra cases of weight gain > 13.6kg, and 11 extra cases of edema. (Note – those with various degrees of heart failure, pitting edema, etc. were excluded.) Link to trial summary: <http://www.rxfiles.ca/rxfiles/uploads/documents/IRIS-Trial-Summary.pdf>
- ♦ **RECORD** ³¹: n=4447, ~ 5.5yr; T2DM (A1C mean ~ 7.9%⇒7.4-7.9%); open label; MF or SU + rosiglitazone vs MF + SU. No difference in CV death, MI; ↑HF & fracture.

Upcoming Trials in Diabetes/CV Risk Prevention:

- ♦ **NAVIGATOR** (Nateglinide and Valsartan in Impaired Glucose Tolerance Outcomes Research)- NEJM Mar/10; ♦ **TRANSCEND** (Telmisartan Randomized Assessment Study in aCE iNtolerant subjects with cardiovascular Disease); **RAPSODI** (rimonabant in diabetes prevention); **CANOE** (rosiglitazone 2mg bid & metformin 500mg bid in diabetes prevention);

Prediabetes ^{ADA}:

- Includes: 1) **Impaired Fasting Glucose** (8hr fasting BG between 5.6-6.9mmol/L) & 2) **Impaired glucose tolerance** {Postprandial BG of 7.8-11.0mmol/L 2hrs post 75g oral glucose challenge}
- Risk factors: family hx, obesity – especially around waist, age >45, hypertension, **gestational** diabetes hx, sedentary lifestyle. Screening recommendations vary; USPSTF recommends screening particularly if BP >135/80. Oral Glucose Challenge most recommended, but A1c screen also advocated by some.
- QDScore diabetes risk calculator: (UK Prediction Calculator for T2DM): <http://www.qdscore.org/>

Insulin Analogues Systematic Review/Reports, 2008: <http://www.cadth.ca/index.php/en/compus/insulin-analogs/reports>
 Tight glucose control in critically ill hospitalized pts may ↑mortality & ↑↑risk of hypoglycemia. JAMA'08; 40 Nice-Sugar NNH=38/90day

Q&A: Limitations & Unanswered Questions Regarding A1C Control and Clinical Outcome - Benefits or Risks

There are some important qualifiers on the commonly quoted observational data that "with every 1 % drop in A1C the risk of developing long-term diabetes complications decreases". (Concept originally based on observational data driven by an eye related microvascular endpoint in the UKPDS). **RCT evidence does not support this assumption!**

- Most recently the **ACCORD** trial (established, higher risk T2DM) was halted after looking at whether a A1C target of <6% would result in beneficial clinical outcomes compared to 7-7.9%. According to the preliminary results still awaiting publication, it would appear from this RCT, in this population group, the extra 1.1% drop in A1C seen in the intensive group was actually associated with increased all cause death compared to the standard group. Explanations for this are still pending; some possibilities noted with 5yr follow-up discussion below.
(See also; <http://www.rxfiles.ca/rxfiles/uploads/documents/Diabetes-Targets-ACCORD-A1C.pdf>).
- 5 year ACCORD^{7b} follow-up results published ^{Mar 2011 NEJM}: A1C lowering intensiveness relaxed for balance of study period; participants continued in BP or lipid lowering arms; A1C at 5 yrs ~ 7.2% vs 7.6%.
 - 1) ↑ death sustained in intensive glucose lowering group 5.5% vs 4.5% ^{NNH=100/5yr};
 - 2) ↓ non-fatal MI, but fatal CV ↑;
 - 3) severe hypoglycaemia equivalent in follow-up period;
 - 4) those most at risk of ↑ death were those with baseline A1C > 8%;
 - 5) possible explanations for harm with intensive glucose lowering:
 - A) different outcomes associated with different drugs or drug combinations?;
 - B) impact of ↑ wt gain?;
 - C) impact of intense BG lowering.
- With the current RCT evidence with rosiglitazone, there is some concern that lowering A1C does not necessarily result in CV event reductions? With the limited evidence, it appears to at best be neutral, and at worst, harmful in RCTs/durations studied so far (e.g. up to 5.5 year RCTs.) Patients studied, agents used & study limitations e.g. dropouts may affect the benefit/risk balance.
- The UKPDS-33, ~ 10 year trial saw reductions predominantly in the microvascular events (predominantly photocoagulation), with stroke and heart related endpoints not significant, but trending favorably and contributing to the composite endpoint benefit. (Exception: metformin had all-cause death reduction in obese T2DM in UKPDS-34)
- In UKPDS 34,^{p860} which noted a mortality benefit for metformin in obese T2DM, there is inconsistency in the association of A1C & outcomes (less A1C difference but more benefit ^{UKPDS34 vs 33})
- In UKPDS 34 Metformin + Sulfonylurea combination led to a lower A1C than Sulf alone (7.7 vs 8.2) but had higher incidence of DM death and all cause death (perhaps due to design issues and a several year delay in moving to combination therapy) .
- The UKPDS epidemiologic evidence for the 1% drop in A1C did not control for obesity/BMI/waist circumference. ^{UKPDS 35}
- In ADOPT, rosiglitazone decreased A1C more than metformin or glyburide, but glyburide had the lowest rate of CV outcomes.
- In VADT, a 1.5% reduction (6.9% ^{intensive} vs 8.4% ^{standard}) in A1C for an average follow-up of 5.6 years **resulted in no benefit** (microvascular or macrovascular) but increased serious adverse events (predominantly hypoglycaemia).
- **Meta-analysis 2011 of Intensive ↓ BG RCTs in T2DM:** 13 trials, n=34,500. **Endpoints:** **mortality**, no difference (RR=1.04, 99%CI 0.91-1.19); **CV death**, no difference (RR=1.11;0.86-1.43); **non-fatal MI**: ↓ (RR=0.85, 0.74-0.96); **Severe hypoglycaemia**: ↑↑ (RR=2.33, 1.62-3.36) 1.9-6.6% of patients required tx for severe hypoglycaemia over 5 years. If only high quality studies included, no longer a ↓ in non-fatal MI & there was an ↑ in HF.
Microvascular effects: no difference, but heterogeneity; rate of retinopathy (0.85, 0.71-1.03); photocoagulation (0.91, 0.71-1.17), ↓ vision or blindness (1.00); neuropathy 0.99, 0.95-1.03); renal failure or 2x SCR (1.03, 0.98-1.08).
Microalbuminuria: ↓ (0.90, 0.85-0.96), ARR 0.7%-3.1%; NNT=142-32. **OVERALL:** for hard clinical endpoints, no benefit, but increased severe hypoglycaemia requiring tx. However, note heterogeneity in trials, different tx approaches, different definitions of "intensive lowering", etc. Nevertheless, the more trials, the more evidence that just lowering BG does not equate automatically to beneficial clinical outcomes, but does carry hypoglycaemia risk.

There is some discordance between randomized trial outcome evidence and the frequently reported "1% A1C..." benefit. One thing that has growing certainty is that the risks and benefits of drug regimens that lower A1C is more complex than what was previously commonly accepted. While a high A1C is not good, some methods of lowering A1C in some patient groups, are also harmful. While we do not want to be lazy in addressing glucose control, the evidence suggests that we not assume a net benefit for all A1C lowering interventions in all Type 2 diabetes patients. {Let the target serve the patient, and not the patient the target.}

See also: Yudkin JS, Lipska KJ, Montori VM. The idolatry of the surrogate. *BMJ*. 2011 Dec 28;343:d7995. <http://www.bmj.com/content/343/bmj.d7995>

Multifactorial intervention - blood pressure, lipids, possibly ASA, lifestyle – in addition to glucose control, is essential in reducing macrovascular endpoints!

See also RxFiles Landmark Trials Chart: Summary of **Lipid, BP & ASA** diabetes related trials: <http://www.rxfiles.ca/rxfiles/uploads/documents/members/CHT-DIABETES-Landmark-Trials-Non-Glucose.pdf>

References - Diabetes Trials: Landmark Outcome and Prevention (www.RxFiles.ca)

- ¹ DCCT Research Group. The effect of intensive treatment of diabetes on the development and progression of long-term complications in insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *N Engl J Med.* 1993 Sep 30;329(14):977-86.
- ² Nathan DM, Cleary PA, Backlund JY, Genuth SM, Lachin JM, Orchard TJ, Raskin P, Zinman B; Diabetes Control and Complications Trial/Epidemiology of Diabetes Interventions and Complications (DCCT/EDIC) Study Research Group. Intensive diabetes treatment and cardiovascular disease in patients with type 1 diabetes. *N Engl J Med.* 2005 Dec 22;353(25):2643-53.
- de Boer IH, Kestenbaum B, Rue TC, et al. Diabetes Control and Complications Trial (DCCT)/Epidemiology of Diabetes Interventions and Complications (EDIC) Study Research Group. Insulin therapy, hyperglycemia, and hypertension in type 1 diabetes mellitus. *Arch Intern Med.* 2008 Sep 22;168(17):1867-73. Hyperglycemia is a risk factor for incident hypertension in type 1 diabetes, and intensive insulin therapy reduces the long-term risk of developing hypertension. {Diabetes Control and Complications Trial/Epidemiology of Diabetes Interventions and Complications (DCCT/EDIC) Research Group. Modern-Day Clinical Course of Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus After 30 Years' Duration: The Diabetes Control and Complications Trial/Epidemiology of Diabetes Interventions and Complications and Pittsburgh Epidemiology of Diabetes Complications Experience (1983-2005). *Arch Intern Med.* 2009;169(14):1307-1316.}
- White NH, Sun W, Cleary PA, et al. Effect of Prior Intensive Therapy in Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus on 10-year Progression of **Retinopathy** in the DCCT/EDIC: Comparison of Adults and Adolescents. *Diabetes.* 2010 Feb 11.
- Albers JW, Herman WH, Pop-Busui R, et al. for the DCCT/EDIC Research Group. Effect Of Prior Intensive Insulin Treatment During The Diabetes Control And Complications Trial (DCCT) On **Peripheral Neuropathy** In Type 1 Diabetes During The Epidemiology Of Diabetes Interventions, And Complications (EDIC) Study. *Diabetes Care.* 2010 Feb 11.
- de Boer Ian H.; Rue Tessa C.; Cleary Patricia A.; et al.; for the Diabetes Control and Complications Trial/Epidemiology of Diabetes Interventions and Complications Study Research Group. **Long-term Renal Outcomes** of Patients With Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus and Microalbuminuria: An Analysis of the Diabetes Control and Complications Trial/Epidemiology of Diabetes Interventions and Complications Cohort **DCCT/EDIC.** *Arch Intern Med.* 2011;171(5):412-420.
- DCCT/EDIC Research Group. Intensive Diabetes Therapy and **Glomerular Filtration** Rate in Type 1 Diabetes. *N Engl J Med.* 2011; 365:2366.
- Purnell JQ, Hokanson JE, Cleary PA, et al. The effect of excess **weight gain** with intensive diabetes mellitus treatment on cardiovascular disease risk factors and atherosclerosis in type 1 diabetes mellitus: results from the Diabetes Control and Complications Trial/Epidemiology of Diabetes Interventions and Complications Study (DCCT/EDIC) Study. *Circulation.* 2013;127:180-187.
- DCCT/EDIC Research Group. Association between **7 years** of intensive treatment of type 1 diabetes and long-term **mortality**. *JAMA.* doi:10.1001/jama.2014.16107.
- DCCT/EDIC Research Group. Intensive Diabetes Therapy and **Ocular Surgery** in Type 1 Diabetes. *N Engl J Med.* 2015 Apr 30;372(18):1722-1733.
- Diabetes Control and Complications Trial (DCCT)/Epidemiology of Diabetes Interventions and Complications (EDIC) Study Research Group. Intensive Diabetes Treatment and Cardiovascular Outcomes in Type 1 Diabetes: The **DCCT/EDIC Study 30-Year Follow-up.** *Diabetes Care.* 2016 May;39(5):686-93.
- DCCT/EDIC Research Group. Frequency of evidencebased screening for **retinopathy** in type 1 diabetes. *N Engl J Med* 2017;376:1507-16.
- ³ Intensive blood-glucose control with sulphonylureas or insulin compared with conventional treatment and risk of complications in patients with type 2 diabetes (**UKPDS 33**). (UKPDS) Group. *Lancet.* 1998 Sep 12;352(9131):837-53.
- ⁴ Salpeter SR, Buckley NS, Kahn JA, Salpeter EE. **Meta-analysis: metformin** treatment in persons at risk for diabetes mellitus. *Am J Med* 2008;121:149-157.e2. {InfoPOEMS: Using metformin to treat patients at risk for diabetes decreases their likelihood of developing diabetes over a 3-year period. Longer studies are needed to determine whether the likelihood of diabetes is truly decreased or simply delayed. We have no research to tell us whether, in the long run, patients live longer or live better if they are treated at this stage of (pre)diabetes. (LOE = 1a)}
- ⁵ Nissen SE, Wolski K. Effect of Rosiglitazone on the Risk of Myocardial Infarction and Death from Cardiovascular Causes. *N Engl J Med.* 2007 May 21; [Epub ahead of print] <http://content.nejm.org/cgi/content/full/NEJMoa072761>
- ⁶ DeFronzo Ralph A., Tripathy Devjit, Schwenke Dawn C., et al. for the **ACT NOW** Study. **Pioglitazone for Diabetes Prevention** in Impaired Glucose Tolerance. *N Engl J Med* 2011; 364:1104-1115.
- ACT-NOW:** ↓progression to T2DM (annual incidence of diabetes was 2.1 vs 7.6%/yr; NNT=19/yr) but ↑weight (3.9kg vs 0.77kg) & edema (12.9 vs 6.4%).
- Loke Yoon Kong, Kwok Chun Shing, Singh Sonal. Comparative cardiovascular effects of thiazolidinediones: systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. *BMJ* 342:doi:10.1136/bmj.d1309
- Tripathy D, Schwenke DC, Banerji M, et al. Diabetes Incidence and Glucose Tolerance after Termination of Pioglitazone Therapy: Results from ACT NOW. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2016 May;101(5):2056-62.
- ⁷ Montori VM, Isley WL, Guyatt GH. Waking up from the DREAM of preventing diabetes with drugs. *BMJ* 2007;28;334(7599):882-4. Accessed online: <http://www.bmj.com/cgi/content/extract/334/7599/882>
- ⁸ Weng J et al. Effect of intensive insulin therapy on β-cell function and glycaemic control in patients with newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes: A multicentre randomised parallel-group trial. *Lancet* 2008 May 24; 371:1753.
- ⁹ Effect of intensive blood-glucose control with metformin on complications in overweight patients with type 2 diabetes (**UKPDS 34**). UK Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS) Group. *Lancet.* 1998 Sep 12;352(9131):854-65. Erratum.
- ¹⁰ Ohkubo Y, Kishikawa H, Araki E, Miyata T, et al. Intensive insulin therapy prevents the progression of diabetic microvascular complications in Japanese patients with non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus: a randomized prospective 6-year study. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract.* 1995 May;28(2):103-17. (**Kumamoto** study)
- ¹¹ Dormandy JA, et al. Secondary prevention of macrovascular events in patients with type 2 diabetes in the **PROactive** Study. (PROspective pioglitAzone Clinical Trial in macroVascular Events): a RCT. *Lancet.* 2005; 366: 1279-1289. {InfoPOEMS Aug 2008: Pioglitazone (Actos), unlike its chemical cousin rosiglitazone (Avandia), does not seem to increase the likelihood of cardiovascular events (N Engl J Med. 2007;356:2457-2471). The researchers conducting this study stretched -- and broke -- the scientific method when claiming benefit, but any claims of benefit are specious. (LOE = 1a-)}
- ¹² Action to Control Cardiovascular Risk in Diabetes Study Group, Gerstein HC, Miller ME, Byington RP, et al. Effects of intensive glucose lowering in type 2 diabetes. *N Engl J Med.* 2008 Jun 12;358(24):2545-59. {RxFiles Trial Summary: **ACCORD** } Miller ME, Bonds DE, Gerstein HC, et al. **ACCORD** Investigators. The effects of baseline characteristics, glycaemia treatment approach, and glycated haemoglobin concentration on the risk of severe hypoglycaemia: post hoc epidemiological analysis of the ACCORD study. *BMJ.* 2010 Jan 8;340:b5444. doi: 10.1136/bmj.b5444.
- ACCORD Study Group and ACCORD Eye Study Group, Effects of Medical Therapies on **Retinopathy** Progression in Type 2 Diabetes. *N Engl J Med* 2010 0: NEJMoa1001288.
- Ismail-Beigi F, Craven T, Banerji MA. Effect of intensive treatment of hyperglycaemia on **microvascular** outcomes in type 2 diabetes: analysis of ACCORD randomised trial. *The Lancet, Early Online, 29 June 10* doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(10)60576-4.
- ^{7b}: **ACCORD** Study Group. Long-term effects of intensive glucose lowering on cardiovascular outcomes. *N Engl J Med* 2011 Mar 3; 364:818.
- ACCORD** Anderson RT, Narayan KM, Feeney P, et al. Action to Control Cardiovascular Risk in Diabetes (ACCORD) Investigators. Effect of intensive glycemic lowering on health-related quality of life in type 2 diabetes: ACCORD trial. *Diabetes Care.* 2011 Apr;34(4):807-12.
- Launer LJ, Miller ME, Williamson JD, et al; **ACCORD MIND.** Effects of **intensive glucose lowering** on brain structure and function in people with type 2 diabetes: a randomised open-label substudy. *Lancet Neurol.* 2011 Nov;10(11):969-77.
- ACCORD** Fonseca V, McDuffie R, Calles J, et al. Determinants of **weight gain** in the Action to Control Cardiovascular Risk in Diabetes (Acord) trial. *Diabetes Care* 2013 .
- Gerstein HC, Miller ME, Ismail-Beigi F, et al, for the ACCORD Study Group. Effects of intensive glycaemic control on ischaemic heart disease: analysis of data from the randomised, controlled **ACCORD** trial. *Lancet* 2014; online Aug 1.
- Miller ME, Williamson JD, Gerstein HC, et al.; **ACCORD** Investigators. Effects of randomization to intensive glucose control on **adverse events, cardiovascular disease, and mortality** in older versus younger adults in the ACCORD Trial. *Diabetes Care* 2014;37:634-43.
- ACCORD** Action to Control Cardiovascular Risk in Diabetes Follow-On (**ACCORDION**) Eye Study Group and the Action to Control Cardiovascular Risk in Diabetes Follow-On (ACCORDION) Study Group. Persistent Effects of **Intensive Glycemic Control on Retinopathy** in Type 2 Diabetes in the Action to Control Cardiovascular Risk in Diabetes (**ACCORD**) Follow-On Study. *Diabetes Care.* 2016 Jul;39(7):1089-100
- ACCORD** Study Group.. **Nine-Year Effects of 3.7 Years** of Intensive Glycemic Control on Cardiovascular Outcomes. *Diabetes Care.* 2016 May;39(5):701-8.

- ¹³ ADVANCE Collaborative Group, Patel A, MacMahon S, Chalmers J, Neal B, Billot L, Woodward M, Marre M, Cooper M, Glasziou P, Grobbee D, Hamet P, Harrap S, Heller S, Liu L, Mancia G, Mogensen CE, Pan C, Poulter N, Rodgers A, Williams B, Bompoint S, de Galan BE, Joshi R, Travert F. Intensive blood glucose control and vascular outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes. *N Engl J Med.* 2008 Jun 12;358(24):2560-72.
- Patel A; **ADVANCE** Collaborative Group, MacMahon S, Chalmers J, Neal B, Woodward M, et al. Effects of a fixed combination of perindopril and indapamide on macrovascular and microvascular outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus (the ADVANCE trial): a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet.* 2007 Sep 8;370(9590):829-40. {RxFiles Trial Summary: **ADVANCE** <http://www.rxfiles.ca/rxfiles/uploads/documents/Diabetes-ADVANCE-trial.pdf> }
- Zoungas S, de Galan BE, Ninomiya T, et al. the ADVANCE Collaborative Group. The combined effects of routine blood pressure lowering and intensive glucose control on **macrovascular and microvascular** outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes; new results from ADVANCE. *Diabetes Care.* 2009 Aug 3. [Epub ahead of print] The effects of routine blood pressure lowering and intensive glucose control were independent of one another and when combined produced additional reductions in clinically relevant outcomes.
- Zoungas S et al. **Severe hypoglycemia** and risks of vascular events and death (Advance). *N Engl J Med* 2010 Oct 7; 363:1410. During a median follow-up period of 5 years, 231 patients (2.1%) had at least one severe hypoglycemic episode; 150 had been assigned to intensive glucose control (2.7% of the 5571 patients in that group), and 81 had been assigned to standard glucose control (1.5% of the 5569 patients in that group).
- Perkovic V, Heerspink HL, Chalmers J, et al. Intensive glucose control improves kidney outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes. (*Advance*) *Kidney Int.* 2013 Jan 9.
- Zoungas S, Chalmers J, Neal B, et al; the **ADVANCE-ON** Collaborative Group. **Follow-up of Blood-Pressure Lowering and Glucose Control** in Type 2 Diabetes. *N Engl J Med.* 2014 Sep 19.
- Wong MG, Perkovic V, Chalmers J, et al. **ADVANCE-ON** Collaborative Group. Long-term Benefits of Intensive Glucose Control for Preventing End-Stage Kidney Disease: **ADVANCE-ON.** *Diabetes Care.* 2016 Mar 22.
- ¹⁴ Gaede P, Lund-Andersen H, Parving HH, Pedersen O. Effect of a multifactorial intervention on mortality in type 2 diabetes. (**STENO-2**) *N Engl J Med.* 2008 Feb 7;358(6):580-91.
- Gaede P, Oellgaard J, Carstensen B, et al. Years of life gained by multifactorial intervention in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and microalbuminuria: 21 years follow-up on the Steno-2 randomised trial. *Diabetologia.* 2016 Aug 16.
- ¹⁵ UGDP.
- ¹⁶ Duckworth W, Abraira C, Moritz T, et al. Glucose Control and Vascular Complications in Veterans with Type 2 Diabetes. *N Engl J Med.* 2008 Dec 17. (**VADT** study) Intensive glucose control in patients with poorly controlled type 2 diabetes had no significant effect on the rates of major cardiovascular events, death, or microvascular complications. {InfoPOEMs Mar09: Like the ACCORD and ADVANCE studies, this trial provides additional evidence that intensive glucose control does not improve outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. It is important to note that these patients had well-controlled hypertension (mean blood pressure = 126/68) and well-controlled hyperlipidemia (mean low-density lipoprotein = 80 mg/dL).} Anderson RJ, Bahn GD, Moritz TE, et al.; for the **VADT** Study Group. Blood Pressure and Cardiovascular Disease risk in the Veterans Affairs Diabetes Trial (VADT) *Diabetes Care.* 2010 Nov 8. **DBP <70 mmHg elevated CVD risk.** Hayward RA, Reaven PD, Wiitala WL, et al; **VADT** Investigators. **Follow-up of glycemic control and cardiovascular outcomes** in type 2 diabetes. *N Engl J Med.* 2015 Jun 4;372(23):2197-206.
- ¹⁷ Lindstrom J, Ilanne-Parikka P, et al. Sustained reduction in the incidence of type 2 diabetes by lifestyle intervention: follow-up of the **Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study.** *The Lancet.* 2006; 368:1673-1679.
- Uusitupa M, Peltonen M, Lindström J, et al. 2009 **Ten-Year Mortality and Cardiovascular Morbidity in the Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study—Secondary Analysis of the Randomized Trial.** *PLoS ONE* 4(5): e5656. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0005656.
- ¹⁸ Knowler WC, Barret-Connor E, et al. Reduction in the incidence of type 2 diabetes with lifestyle intervention or metformin. (**DPP** trial) *N Engl J Med.* 2002; 346: 393-403.
- Diabetes Prevention Program Research Group, Knowler WC, Fowler SE, Hamman RF, et al. 10-year follow-up of diabetes incidence and weight loss in the Diabetes Prevention Program Outcomes Study. *Lancet.* 2009 Nov 14;374(9702):1677-86.
- Goldberg R, Temprosa M, Otvos J, et al. **Lifestyle and metformin** treatment favorably influence lipoprotein subfraction distribution in the Diabetes Prevention Program. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2013.
- Perreault L, Temprosa M, Mather KJ, et al; for the Diabetes Prevention Program (DPP) Research Group. Regression From Prediabetes to Normal Glucose Regulation Is Associated With Reduction in Cardiovascular Risk: Results From the Diabetes Prevention Program Outcomes Study. *Diabetes Care.* 2014 Jun 26.
- Rockette-Wagner B, Edelstein S, Venditti EM, et al; Diabetes Prevention Program (**DPP**) Research Group. The impact of **lifestyle intervention on sedentary time** in individuals at high risk of diabetes. *Diabetologia.* 2015 Apr 8.
- Diabetes Prevention Program** Research Group. Long-term effects of lifestyle intervention or metformin on diabetes development and microvascular complications over **15-year** follow-up: the Diabetes Prevention Program Outcomes Study. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol.* 2015 Sep 11.
- Rockette-Wagner B, Storti KL, et al. **Activity and Sedentary Time 10 Years** After a Successful **Lifestyle Intervention: The Diabetes Prevention Program (DPPOS).** *Am J Prev Med.* 2017 Mar;52(3):292-299.
- Goldberg RB, Aroda VR, et al; Diabetes Prevention Program (**DPP**) Research Group. Effect of **Long-Term Metformin and Lifestyle** in the Diabetes Prevention Program and Its **Outcome Study on Coronary Artery Calcium.** *Circulation.* 2017 Jul 4;136(1):52-64.
- Crandall JP, Mather K, Rajpathak SN, et al. **Statin use and risk of developing diabetes:** results from the **Diabetes Prevention Program (DPP).** *BMJ Open Diabetes Res Care.* 2017 Oct 10;5(1):e000438.
- Kim C, Aroda VR, Goldberg RB, et al; Diabetes Prevention Program (DPP) Outcomes Study Group. **Androgens, irregular menses, and risk of diabetes and coronary artery calcification** in the Diabetes Prevention Program. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2017 Dec 6.
- Diabetes Prevention Program Research Group. Long-term Effects of Metformin on Diabetes Prevention: Identification of Subgroups That Benefited Most in the Diabetes Prevention Program and Diabetes Prevention Program Outcomes Study. *Diabetes Care.* 2019 Apr;42(4):601-608.
- ¹⁹ Ramachandran A, Snehalatha C, Mary S, Mukesh B, Bhaskar AD, Vijay V; Indian Diabetes Prevention Programme (**IDPP**). *Diabetologia.* 2006 Feb;49(2):289-97. Epub 2006 Jan 4. n=531 over 2.5yrs
- Ramachandran A, Snehalatha C, Mary S, Selvam S, Kumar CK, Seeli AC, Shetty AS. Pioglitazone does not enhance the effectiveness of lifestyle modification in preventing conversion of impaired glucose tolerance to diabetes in Asian Indians: results of the Indian Diabetes Prevention Programme-2 (**IDPP-2**). *Diabetologia.* 2009 Mar 10. [Epub ahead of print]
- ²⁰ Chiasson JL, Josse RG, et al. Acarbose for prevention of type 2 diabetes mellitus: the **STOP-NIDDM** randomized trial. *The Lancet.* 2002; 359: 2072-2077.
- ²¹ Chiasson JL, Josse RG, Gomis R, et al. Acarbose Treatment and the Risk of Cardiovascular Disease and Hypertension in Patients with Impaired Glucose Tolerance: The STOP-NIDDM Trial. *JAMA* 2003; 290(4): 486-494.
- ²² Torgerson JS, Boldrin MN, et al. XENical in the Prevention of Diabetes in Obese Subjects (**XENDOS**) Study. *Diabetes Care.* 2004; 27: 155-161.
- ²³ **DREAM** (Diabetes REduction Assessment with ramipril and rosiglitazone Medication) Trial Investigators: Gerstein HC, Yusuf S, Bosch J, et al. Effect of **rosiglitazone** on the frequency of diabetes in patients with impaired glucose tolerance or impaired fasting glucose: a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet.* 2006 Sep 23;368(9541):1096-105. Erratum in: *Lancet* 2006;18;368:1770.
- DREAM** On (Diabetes Reduction Assessment with Ramipril and Rosiglitazone Medication Ongoing Follow-up) Investigators. Long-term effect of rosiglitazone and/or ramipril on the incidence of diabetes. *Diabetologia.* 2010 Nov.
- Holman RR, Zinman B, Yusuf S, Sheridan PM, Anand SS, Bosch JJ, Conget I, et al. **Incidence of Diabetes Following Ramipril or Rosiglitazone Withdrawal.** (**DREAM**) trial. *Diabetes Care.* 2011 Apr 22.
- ²⁴ **DREAM** Trial Investigators; Bosch J, Yusuf S, Gerstein HC, et al. Effect of **ramipril** on the incidence of diabetes. *N Engl J Med.* 2006 Oct 12;355(15):1551-62. Epub 2006 Sep 15.
- Holman RR, Zinman B, Yusuf S, Sheridan PM, Anand SS, Bosch JJ, Conget I, et al. **Incidence of Diabetes Following Ramipril or Rosiglitazone Withdrawal.** (**DREAM**) trial. *Diabetes Care.* 2011 Apr 22.
- ²⁵ **NAVIGATOR** Study Group. Effect of **Nateglinide** on the Incidence of Diabetes and Cardiovascular Events. *N Engl J Med* 2010 0: NEJMoa1001122. **NAVIGATOR** Study Group. Effect of **Valsartan** on the Incidence of Diabetes and Cardiovascular Events. *N Engl J Med* 2010 0: NEJMoa1001121.

- 26 Holman R, Sanjoy P, Bethel MA, Matthews D, Neil A. 10-Year Follow-up of Intensive Glucose Control in Type 2 Diabetes. (UKPDS-80). N Engl J Med 2008;359:1-13. {(SU/Insulin vs control: ↓ MI 19.6⇒16.8 per 1000 patient-yrs RR=0.85 (CI: 0.74-0.97); ↓ Death 30.3⇒26.8 per 1000 patient-yrs RR=0.87 (CI: 0.79-0.96); (MF vs control: ↓ MI, 21.1⇒14.8 per 1000 patient-yrs RR=0.67 (CI: 0.51-0.89); ↓ Death 33.1⇒25.9 per 1000 patient-yrs RR=0.73 (CI: 0.59-0.89)}. {Daily POEM: "The advantages of tight blood sugar control seen in the United Kingdom Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS) trial were maintained and to some extent extended during a 10-year nonrandomized follow-up period, even though all patients quickly had similar glycohemoglobin levels. The benefit was most pronounced with metformin. Note that patients in the "intensive therapy" group had a mean glycohemoglobin of approximately 8% at the end of the randomized portion of the study, and the recent ACCORD study found that more aggressive control offered no benefit and may be harmful (N Engl J Med 2008;358:2545-59, POEM #100825). (LOE = 2b)"}
- 27 AACE: THE DIAGNOSIS AND MANAGEMENT OF PRE-DIABETES IN THE CONTINUUM OF HYPERGLYCEMIA. July 2008. Accessed online at: <http://www.aace.com/meetings/consensus/hyperglycemia/hyperglycemia.pdf>
- 28 Canadian Optimal Medication Prescribing & Utilization Service (COMPUS), Current Topics, Diabetes: <http://cadth.ca/index.php/en/compus/current-topics-dm1> (www.cadth.ca)
- 29 Montori V, Fernandez-Balsells M. Glycemic Control in Type 2 Diabetes: Time for an Evidence-Based About Face? Ann Int Med 2009; 150(11). Available at: <http://www.annals.org/cgi/content/full/0000605-200906020-00118v1> on 2009 Apr 21.
- 30 Salpeter SR, Buckley NS, Kahn JA, Salpeter EE. **Meta-analysis: metformin treatment in persons at risk for diabetes mellitus.** Am J Med 2008;121:149-157.e2. {InfoPOEMS: Using metformin to treat patients at risk for diabetes decreases their likelihood of developing diabetes over a 3-year period. Longer studies are needed to determine whether the likelihood of diabetes is truly decreased or simply delayed. We have no research to tell us whether, in the long run, patients live longer or live better if they are treated at this stage of (pre)diabetes. (LOE = 1a)}
- 31 Nissen SE, Wolski K. Effect of Rosiglitazone on the Risk of Myocardial Infarction and Death from Cardiovascular Causes. N Engl J Med. 2007 May 21; [Epub ahead of print] <http://content.nejm.org/cgi/content/full/NEJMoa072761>
- 32 DeFronzo Ralph A., Tripathy Devjit, Schwenke Dawn C., et al. for the **ACT NOW Study. Pioglitazone for Diabetes Prevention in Impaired Glucose Tolerance.** N Engl J Med 2011; 364:1104-1115.
ACT-NOW: ↓ progression to T2DM (annual incidence of diabetes was 2.1 vs 7.6%/yr; NNT=19/yr) but ↑ weight (3.9kg vs 0.77kg) & edema (12.9 vs 6.4%).
 Loke Yoon Kong, Kwok Chun Shing, Singh Sonal. Comparative cardiovascular effects of thiazolidinediones: systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. BMJ 342:doi:10.1136/bmj.d1309
 Tripathy D, Schwenke DC, Banerji M, et al. Diabetes Incidence and Glucose Tolerance after Termination of Pioglitazone Therapy: Results from ACT NOW. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2016 May;101(5):2056-62.
- 33 Montori VM, Isley WL, Guyatt GH. Waking up from the DREAM of preventing diabetes with drugs. BMJ 2007;28:334(7599):882-4. Accessed online: <http://www.bmj.com/cgi/content/extract/334/7599/882>
- 34 Weng J et al. Effect of intensive insulin therapy on β-cell function and glycaemic control in patients with newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes: A multicentre randomised parallel-group trial. Lancet 2008 May 24; 371:1753.
- 35 Knowler WC, Hamman RF, Edelstein SL, et al. Prevention of type 2 diabetes with troglitazone in the diabetes prevention program. Diabetes. 2005; 54: 1150-1156.
- 36 Chiasson JL, Josse RG, Gomis R, et al. Acarbose Treatment and the Risk of Cardiovascular Disease and Hypertension in Patients with Impaired Glucose Tolerance: The STOP-NIDDM Trial. JAMA 2003; 290(4): 486-494.
- 37 AACE: THE DIAGNOSIS AND MANAGEMENT OF PRE-DIABETES IN THE CONTINUUM OF HYPERGLYCEMIA. July 2008. Accessed online at: <http://www.aace.com/meetings/consensus/hyperglycemia/hyperglycemia.pdf>
- 38 Canadian Optimal Medication Prescribing & Utilization Service (COMPUS), Current Topics, Diabetes: <http://cadth.ca/index.php/en/compus/current-topics-dm1> (www.cadth.ca)
- 39 Montori V, Fernandez-Balsells M. Glycemic Control in Type 2 Diabetes: Time for an Evidence-Based About Face? Ann Int Med 2009; 150(11). Available at: <http://www.annals.org/cgi/content/full/0000605-200906020-00118v1> on 2009 Apr 21.
- 40 Wiener RS, Wiener DC, Larson RJ. Benefits and risks of tight glucose control in critically ill adults: a meta-analysis. JAMA. 2008 Aug 27;300(8):933-44. In critically ill adult patients, tight glucose control is not associated with significantly reduced hospital mortality but is associated with an increased risk of hypoglycemia.
 Arabi YM, Dabbagh OC, Tamim HM, et al. Intensive versus conventional insulin therapy: a randomized controlled trial in medical and surgical critically ill patients. Crit Care Med. 2008 Dec;36(12):3190-7.
NICE-SUGAR Study Investigators, Finfer S, Chittock DR, Su SY, Blair D, et al. Intensive versus conventional glucose control in critically ill patients. N Engl J Med. 2009 Mar 26;360(13):1283-97. Epub 2009 Mar 24. In this large, international, randomized trial, we found that intensive glucose control increased mortality among adults in the ICU: a blood glucose target of 180 mg or less per deciliter resulted in lower mortality than did a target of 81 to 108 mg per deciliter.
- 31 Home PD, Pocock SJ, Beck-Nielsen H, Curtis PS, Gomis R, Hanefeld M, Jones NP, Komajda M, McMurray JJ, RECORD Study Team. Rosiglitazone evaluated for cardiovascular outcomes in oral agent combination therapy for type 2 diabetes (RECORD): a multicentre, randomised, open-label trial. Lancet. 2009 Jun 20;373(9681):2125-35. {Commentary & limitations: <http://www.medscape.com/viewarticle/704038> }
 Komajda M, McMurray JJ, Beck-Nielsen H, et al. Heart failure events with rosiglitazone in type 2 diabetes: data from the RECORD clinical trial. Eur Heart J 2010; DOI: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehp604.
 Home PD, Pocock SJ, Beck-Nielsen H, et al. Rosiglitazone evaluated for cardiovascular outcomes in oral agent combination therapy for type 2 diabetes (RECORD): a multicentre, randomised, open-label trial. Lancet 2009;373:2125-2135.
 Avenell A, Cook JA, MacLennan GS, McPherson GC; **RECORD trial group.** Vitamin D supplementation and type 2 diabetes. Age Ageing. 2009;38(5):606-609.
- 32 Griffin SJ, Borch-Johnsen K, Davies MJ, et al. Effect of early **intensive multifactorial therapy on 5-year cardiovascular outcomes** in individuals with type 2 diabetes detected by screening (**ADDITION-Europe**): a cluster-randomised trial. Lancet 2011; published online June 25. {In 2014, another publication showed that the 5 year microvascular event rates were also not significantly reduced. (Sandbaek, et al. Diabetes Care. 2014 Jul;37(7):2015-23.)}

Recent Trials, Post-2015

- 33 Scirica BM, Bhatt DL, Braunwald E, et al. **SAVOR-TIMI 53** Steering Committee and Investigators. Saxagliptin and cardiovascular outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. N Engl J Med. 2013 Oct 3;369(14):1317-26. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1307684. Link to trial summary: <http://www.rxfiles.ca/ezproxy.shipr.ca/rxfiles/uploads/documents/SAVOR-TIMI-53-Saxagliptin-CV-Outcomes-Trial-Summary.pdf>
- 34 White WB, Cannon CP, Heller SR et al. **EXAMINE** Investigators. Alogliptin after acute coronary syndrome in patients with type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med. 2013 Oct 3;369(14):1327-35. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1305889.
- 35 Pfeffer MA, Claggett B, Diaz R, et al. Lixisenatide in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes and Acute Coronary Syndrome. (**ELIXA**) N Engl J Med. 2015 Dec 3;373(23):2247 - 57
 Link to trial summary: <http://www.rxfiles.ca/rxfiles/uploads/documents/Lixisenatide-ELIXA%20Trial%20Summary.pdf>
- 36 Green JB, Bethel MA, Armstrong PW, et al. Effect of Sitagliptin on Cardiovascular Outcomes in Type 2 Diabetes. (**TECOS**) N Engl J Med. 2015 Jul 16;373(3):232-42. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1501352. Epub 2015 Jun 8. Erratum in: N Engl J Med. 2015 Aug 6;373(6):586. Link to trial summary: <http://www.rxfiles.ca/rxfiles/uploads/documents/TECOS-Trial-Summary.pdf>
- 37 Marso SP, Bain SC, Consoli A, Eliaschewitz FG, Jódar E, Leiter LA, et al; **SUSTAIN-6** Investigators. Semaglutide and Cardiovascular Outcomes in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes. N Engl J Med. 2016 Nov 10;375(19):1834-1844.
- 38 Marso SP, Daniels GH, Brown-Frandsen K, et al. Liraglutide and Cardiovascular Outcomes in Type 2 Diabetes. (**LEADER**) N Engl J Med. 2016 Jun 13.
 Link to trial summary: <http://www.rxfiles.ca/rxfiles/uploads/documents/Leader-Liraglutide%20VICTOZA%20and%20Cardiovascular%20Outcomes%20in%20Type%20Diabetes.pdf>
- 39 Zinman B, Wanner C, Lachin JM, et al.; **EMPA-REG** OUTCOME Investigators. Empagliflozin, Cardiovascular Outcomes, and Mortality in Type 2 Diabetes. N Engl J Med. 2015 Sep 17.
 Link to trial summary: <http://www.rxfiles.ca/rxfiles/uploads/documents/EMPA-REG%20Trial%20Summary.pdf>
- Zinman B, Inzucchi SE, Lachin JM, et al. **Empagliflozin and Cerebrovascular Events** in Patients With Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus at High Cardiovascular Risk. (**Empa-Reg**) Stroke. 2017 May;48(5):1218-1225.
- 40 Jiang L, Johnson A, Pratte K, et al. Long-term Outcomes of Lifestyle Intervention to Prevent Diabetes in American Indian and Alaska Native Communities: The Special Diabetes Program for Indians Diabetes Prevention Program. (**SDPI-DP**) Diabetes Care. 2018 Jul;41(7):1462-1470.

Additional References

ADA-American Diabetes Association Guidelines - Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes—2014 http://care.diabetesjournals.org/content/37/Supplement_1.toc
ADA, ACC & AHA Position Statement - Intensive Glycemic Control & the prevention of CV Events - Jan/2009 Implications of ACCORD, ADVANCE & VA Diabetes Trials (ePublished - accessed Dec 30, 2008)

<http://care.diabetesjournals.org/misc/finaldc9026.pdf>

- ADA 2018:** American Diabetes Association. 8. **Pharmacologic Approaches** to Glycemic Treatment: Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes-2018. *Diabetes Care*. 2018 Jan;41(Suppl 1):S73-S85.
- ADA 2018:** American Diabetes Association. 7. **Obesity Management** for the Treatment of Type 2 Diabetes: Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes-2018. *Diabetes Care*. 2018 Jan;41(Suppl 1):S65-S72.
- ADA 2018:** American Diabetes Association. 5. **Prevention or Delay of Type 2 Diabetes:** Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes-2018. *Diabetes Care*. 2018 Jan;41(Suppl 1):S51-S54.
- ADA 2018:** American Diabetes Association. 4. **Lifestyle Management:** Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes-2018. *Diabetes Care*. 2018 Jan;41(Suppl 1):S38-S50.
- American College of Sports Medicine, American Diabetes Association. **Exercise and type 2 diabetes:** American College of Sports Medicine and the American Diabetes Association: joint position statement. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2010 Dec;42(12):2282-303.
- Andrews RC, Cooper AR, Montgomery AA, et al. **Diet or diet plus physical activity versus usual care** in patients with newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes: the Early ACTID randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2011; June 25.
- Avery L, Flynn D, van Wersch A, et al. **Changing Physical Activity Behavior** in Type 2 Diabetes: A systematic review and meta-analysis of behavioral interventions. *Diabetes Care*. 2012 Dec;35(12):2681-9.
- Baum A, Scarpa J, Bruzelius E, et al. Targeting weight loss interventions to reduce cardiovascular complications of type 2 diabetes: a machine learning-based post-hoc analysis of heterogeneous treatment effects in the **Look AHEAD** trial. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol*. 2017 Jul 12.
- Belalcazar LM, Ballantyne CM. Looking Back at **Look AHEAD** Through the Lens of Recent Diabetes Outcome Trials. *Circulation*. 2017 Feb 21;135(8):720-723.
- BMJ 2009;338:b800. Mar 2009. Editorials: **Tight control** of blood glucose in long standing type 2 diabetes. Accessed at http://www.bmj.com/cgi/content/full/338/mar05_2/b800?ct
- Boussageon R, Bejan-Angoulvant T, Saadatian-Elahi M, et al. Effect of **intensive glucose lowering treatment on all cause mortality, cardiovascular death**, and microvascular events in type 2 diabetes: meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *BMJ* 2011;343:d4169.
- Bulugahapitiya U, Siyambalapatiya S, Sithole J, Idris I. Is diabetes a coronary risk equivalent? Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Diabet Med*. 2009;26:142-8. (InfoPOEMs Apr09: This meta-analysis found no evidence to support the contention that diabetes alone is a coronary heart disease (CHD) risk equivalent to a history of prior myocardial infarction (MI). The blanket use of aspirin and statins for patients with type 2 diabetes, regardless of their lipid levels, is not supported by the evidence. (LOE = 2a))
- Burr J, Shephard R, Riddell M. Prediabetes and type 2 diabetes mellitus: Assessing risks for physical activity clearance and prescription. *Can Fam Physician* March 2012 58: 280-284.
- Chatterton H, Younger T, Fischer A, Khunti K; on behalf of the Programme Development Group. **Risk identification and interventions** to prevent type 2 diabetes in adults at high risk: summary of **NICE** guidance. *BMJ*. 2012 Jul 12;345:e4624.
- Coca SG, Ismail-Beigi F, Haq N, Krumholz HM, Parikh CR. Role of intensive glucose control in development of renal end points in type 2 diabetes mellitus: systematic review & meta-analysis. *Arch Intern Med*. 2012;172(10):761-769
- Collins GS, Altman DG. External validation of **QDScore**(R) for predicting the 10-year risk of developing Type 2 diabetes. *Diabet Med*. 2011 May;28(5):599-607. doi: 10.1111/j.1464-5491.2011.03237.x
- Coppell KJ, Kataoka M, Williams SM, et al. **Nutritional intervention** in patients with type 2 diabetes who are hyperglycaemic despite optimised drug treatment—Lifestyle Over and Above Drugs in Diabetes (LOADD) study: randomised controlled trial. *BMJ* 2010;341:c3337.
- DeFronzo RA, Banerji M, Bray GA, et al. Actos Now for the prevention of diabetes (**ACT NOW**) study. *BMC Endocr Disord*. 2009 Jul 29;9:17. (pioglitazone)
- DeFronzo Ralph A., Tripathy Devjit, Schwenke Dawn C., et al. for the **ACT NOW** Study. **Pioglitazone for Diabetes Prevention** in Impaired Glucose Tolerance. *N Engl J Med* 2011; 364:1104-1115.
- Dipietro L, Gribok A, Stevens MS, et al. **Three 15-min Bouts of Moderate Postmeal Walking** Significantly Improves 24-h Glycemic Control in Older People at Risk for Impaired Glucose Tolerance. *Diabetes Care*. 2013 Jun 11.
- Elhanyan A, Lustman A, Abel R, et al. A low carbohydrate **Mediterranean diet** improves cardiovascular risk factors and diabetes control among overweight patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: a 1-year prospective randomized intervention study. *Diabetes Obes Metab*. 2010 Mar;12(3):204-9.
- Esposito K, Maiorino MI, Petrizzo M, et al. The Effects of a **Mediterranean Diet** on Need for Diabetes Drugs and Remission of Newly Diagnosed Type 2 Diabetes: Follow-up of a Randomized Trial. *Diabetes Care*. 2014 Apr 10.
- Franks, Paul W., Hanson, Robert L., Knowler, William C., et al. **Childhood Obesity**, Other Cardiovascular Risk Factors, and **Premature Death**. *N Engl J Med* 2010 362: 485-493.
- Garvey WT, Ryan DH, Henry R, et al. **Prevention of type 2 diabetes** in subjects with prediabetes and metabolic syndrome treated with phentermine and **topiramate** extended release. *Diabetes Care*. 2014 Apr;37(4):912-21.
- Gillett M, Royle P, Snaith A, et al. **Non-pharmacological interventions to reduce the risk of diabetes** in people with impaired glucose regulation: a systematic review and economic evaluation. *Health Technol Assess*. 2012 Aug;16(33):1-236, iii-iv.
- Gregg EW, Chen H, Wagenknecht LE, et al. Association of an **intensive lifestyle** intervention with remission of type 2 diabetes. (**Look Ahead**) *JAMA*. 2012;308(23): 2489-2496.
- Haw JS, Galaviz KI, Straus AN, et al. **Long-term Sustainability of Diabetes Prevention Approaches:** A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Randomized Clinical Trials. *JAMA Intern Med*. 2017 Nov 6.
- Haynes RB, Haynes GA. What does it take to put an ugly fact through the heart of a beautiful hypothesis? *Evid Based Med*. 2009 Jun;14(3):68-9. <http://ebm.bmj.com/cgi/content/full/14/3/68?linkType=FULL&journalCode=ebmed&resid=14/3/68>
- Hayward RA, Reaven PD, Wiitala WL, et al; **VADT** Investigators. **Follow-up of glycaemic control and cardiovascular outcomes** in type 2 diabetes. *N Engl J Med*. 2015 Jun 4;372(23):2197-206.
- Heianza Y, Hara S, Arase Y, et al. **HbA(1c)** 5.7-6.4% and impaired fasting plasma glucose for diagnosis of prediabetes and risk of progression to diabetes in Japan (**TOPICS 3**): a longitudinal cohort study. *Lancet*. 2011 Jun 24.
- Hemmingsen B, Lund SS, Gluud C, et al. Targeting **intensive glycaemic control versus targeting conventional glycaemic control** for type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2011, Issue 6. Art. No.: CD008143. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD008143.pub2. The included trials did **not show significant differences for all-cause mortality and cardiovascular mortality** when targeting intensive glycaemic control compared with conventional glycaemic control. Targeting intensive glycaemic control reduced the risk of microvascular complications while increasing the risk of hypoglycaemia. Furthermore, intensive glycaemic control might reduce the risk of non-fatal myocardial infarction in trials exclusively dealing with glycaemic control in usual care settings.
- Hemmingsen B, Lund SS, Gluud C, et al. **Intensive glycaemic control for patients with type 2 diabetes:** systematic review with meta-analysis and trial sequential analysis of randomised clinical trials. *BMJ*. 2011 Nov 24;343:d6898.
- Hemmingsen B, Sonne DP, Metzendorf MI, et al. **Dipeptidyl-peptidase (DPP)-4 inhibitors and glucagon-like peptide (GLP)-1 analogues for prevention or delay of type 2 diabetes mellitus** and its associated complications in people at increased risk for the development of type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2017 May 10;5:CD012204. There is no firm evidence that DPP-4 inhibitors or GLP-1 analogues compared mainly with placebo substantially influence the risk of T2DM and especially its associated complications in people at increased risk for the development of T2DM. Most trials did not investigate patient-important outcomes.
- Hippisley-Cox J, Coupland C, Robson J, Sheikh A, Brindle P. **Predicting risk of type 2 diabetes** in England and Wales: prospective derivation and validation of **QDScore**. *BMJ*. 2009 Mar 17;338:b880. doi: 10.1136/bmj.b880.
- Holman, Rury R., Farmer, Andrew J., Davies, Melanie J., et al. the **4-T** Study Group, **Three-Year Efficacy of Complex Insulin Regimens** in Type 2 Diabetes. *N Engl J Med* 2009 0: NEJMoa0905479.
- Holman RR, Sourij H, Califf RM. **Cardiovascular outcome trials** of glucose-lowering drugs or strategies in type 2 diabetes. *Lancet*. 2014 Jun 7;383(9933):2008-2017.
- Ismail-Beigi Faramarz, Moghissi Etie, Tiktin Margaret, et al. **Individualizing Glycemic Targets** in Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus: **Implications of Recent Clinical Trials**. *Ann Intern Med* April 19, 2011 154:554-559.
- Johnston Stephen S., Conner Christopher, Aagren Mark, et al. Evidence Linking **Hypoglycemic Events to an Increased Risk of Acute Cardiovascular Events** in Patients With Type 2 Diabetes. *Diabetes Care* May 2011 34:1164-1170.
- Kahn HS, Cheng YJ, Thompson TJ, Imperatore G, Gregg EW. Two risk-scoring systems for **predicting incident diabetes mellitus** in U.S. adults age 45 to 64 years. *Ann Intern Med*. 2009 Jun 2;150(11):741-51. Basic information identified adults at high risk for diabetes. Additional data from fasting blood tests better identified those at extreme risk.
- Karagiannis T, Paschos P, Paleskas K, Matthews DR, Tsapas A. **Dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors (DPP-4)** for treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus in the clinical setting: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ* 2012;344:e1369.
- Kawamori R, Tajima N, et al. Voglibose Ph-3 Study Group. **Voglibose** for prevention of type 2 diabetes mellitus: a randomised, double-blind trial in Japanese individuals with impaired glucose tolerance. *Lancet*. 2009 May 9;373(9675):1607-14.
- Le Roux CW, Astrup A, Fujioka K, et al, for the **SCALE** Obesity and Prediabetes NN8022-1839 Study Group. 3 years of **liraglutide** versus placebo for **type 2 diabetes risk reduction** and weight management in individuals with prediabetes: a randomised, double-blind trial. *Lancet* 2017; online Feb 22.
- Lean MEJ, Leslie WS, Barnes AC, et al. **Primary care-led weight management for remission of type 2 diabetes (DIRECT):** an open-label, cluster-randomised trial. *Lancet* 2017; published online Dec 5.

- Li G, Zhang P, Wang J, et al. Cardiovascular mortality, all-cause mortality, and diabetes incidence after **lifestyle intervention for people with impaired glucose tolerance in the Da Qing Diabetes Prevention Study**: a 23-year follow-up study. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol* 2014.
- Li R, Zhang P, Barker LE, et al. **Cost-effectiveness of interventions to prevent and control diabetes mellitus**: a systematic review. *Diabetes Care*. 2010 Aug;33(8):1872-94.
- Lilly M, Godwin M. Treating **prediabetes with metformin**: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Can Fam Physician*. 2009 Apr;55(4):363-9. Metformin decreases the rate of conversion from prediabetes to diabetes. This was true at higher dosage (850 mg twice daily) & lower dosage (250 mg twice or 3 times daily); in people of varied ethnicity; & even when a sensitivity analysis was applied to the data. The number needed to treat was between 7 & 14 for treatment over a 3-year period.
- Lind M, Bounias I, Olsson M, Gudbjörnsdóttir S, et al. **Glycaemic control and incidence of heart failure** in 20 985 patients with type 1 diabetes: an observational study. *Lancet* 2011; published online June 25.
- Loke Yoon Kong, Kwok Chun Shing, Singh Sonal. Comparative **cardiovascular effects of thiazolidinediones**: systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. *BMJ* 342:doi:10.1136/bmj.d1309
- Long GH, Cooper AJ, Wareham NJ, et al. **Healthy Behavior Change and Cardiovascular Outcomes in Newly Diagnosed Type 2 Diabetes Patients: A Cohort Analysis of The ADDITION-Cambridge Study**. *Diabetes Care*. 2014 Mar 21.
- Look AHEAD** Group. Long-term Effects of a **Lifestyle Intervention on Weight and Cardiovascular Risk Factors in Individuals With Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus: Four-Year Results of the Look AHEAD Trial**. *Arch Intern Med*. 2010;170(17):1566-1575.
- Look AHEAD** Research Group. Cardiovascular effects of intensive lifestyle intervention in type 2 diabetes. *N Engl J Med* 2013. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1212914.
- Look AHEAD** Research Group. **Eight-year weight losses with an intensive lifestyle intervention: the look AHEAD study**. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*. 2014 Jan;22(1):5-13.
- Luoto R, Kinnunen TI, Aittasalo M, Kolu P, Raitanen J, et al. (2011) **Primary Prevention of Gestational Diabetes Mellitus and Large-for-Gestational-Age Newborns by Lifestyle Counseling: A Cluster-Randomized Controlled Trial**. *PLoS Med* 8(5): e1001036. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1001036
- Maruthur NM, Ma Y, Delahanty LM, et al; for the Diabetes Prevention Program Research Group. **Early Response to Preventive Strategies** in the Diabetes Prevention Program (DPP). *J Gen Intern Med*. 2013 Jul 17.
- Merlotti C, Morabito A, Pontiroli AE. **Prevention of type 2 diabetes**: a systematic review and meta-analysis of different intervention strategies. *Diabetes Obes Metab*. 2014 Jan 29.
- Montori V, Fernandez-Balsells M. **Glycemic Control in Type 2 Diabetes: Time for an Evidence-Based About Face?** *Ann Int Med* 2009; 150(11). Available at: <http://www.annals.org/cgi/content/full/000605-200906020-00118v1> on 2009 Apr 21.
- Mozaffarian D, Kamineni A, Carnethon M, et al. **Lifestyle risk factors and new-onset diabetes mellitus in older adults**. *Arch Intern Med* 2009; 169:798-807.
- NICE: National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence. Preventing type 2 diabetes: risk identification and interventions for individuals at high risk. PHG38. 2012. <http://guidance.nice.org.uk/PH38> .
- Orozco LJ, Buchleitner AM, Gimenez-Perez G, Roqué i Figuls M, Richter B, Mauricio D. **Exercise or exercise and diet for preventing type 2 diabetes mellitus**. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2008, Issue 3. Art. No.: CD003054. DOI:10.1002/14651858.CD003054.pub3. Interventions aimed at increasing exercise combined with diet are able to decrease the incidence of type 2 diabetes mellitus in high risk groups (people with impaired glucose tolerance or the metabolic syndrome). There is a need for studies exploring exercise only interventions and studies exploring the effect of exercise and diet on quality of life, morbidity and mortality, with special focus on cardiovascular outcomes.
- Perreault L, Pan Q, Mather KJ, et al., for the Diabetes Prevention Program Research Group. Effect of **regression from prediabetes to normal glucose regulation on long-term reduction in diabetes risk: results from the Diabetes Prevention Program Outcomes Study**. *Lancet* 2012; online June 9.
- Pillay J, Armstrong MJ, Butalia S, et al. **Behavioral Programs for Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis**. *Ann Intern Med*. 2015 Sep 29.
- Pillay J, Armstrong MJ, Butalia S, et al. **Behavioral Programs for Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus: A Systematic Review and NetworkMeta-analysis for Effect Moderation**. *Ann Intern Med*. 2015 Sep 29.
- Ray KK, Seshasai SR, Wijesuriya S, et al. Effect of **intensive control of glucose on cardiovascular outcomes and death in patients with diabetes mellitus: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials**. *Lancet* 2009; 373: 1765-72.
- Reis JP, Loria CM, Sorlie PD, et al. Lifestyle factors and risk for new-onset diabetes: a population-based cohort study. *Ann Intern Med*. 2011 Sep 6;155(5):292-9.
- Rock CL, Flatt SW, et al. Weight Loss, Glycemic Control, and Cardiovascular Disease Risk Factors in Response to **Differential Diet Composition in a Weight Loss Program in Type 2 Diabetes: A Randomized Controlled Trial**. *Diabetes Care*. 2014 Apr 23.
- Rosenstock J, Klaff LJ, Schwartz S, et al. Effects of **Exenatide and Lifestyle Modification on Body Weight and Glucose Tolerance in Obese Subjects With and Without Prediabetes**. *Diabetes Care*. 2010 Mar 23. n=152 over 24weeks.
- Saito T, Watanabe M, Nishida J, et al; **Zensharen Study** for Prevention of Lifestyle Diseases Group. **Lifestyle modification and prevention of type 2 diabetes in overweight Japanese with impaired fasting glucose levels: a randomized controlled trial**. *Arch Intern Med*. 2011;171(15):1352-1360.
- Saitz R. How Much Evidence Do We Need to Change Practices in Which We Firmly Believe? Enough already! Randomized trials show that tight glucose control in patients with long-standing type 2 diabetes isn't beneficial. <http://general-medicine.iwatch.org/cgi/content/full/2009/730/1#R9>
- Salas-Salvadó J, Bulló M, Estruch R, et al. Prevention of diabetes with **Mediterranean diets**: a subgroup analysis of a randomized trial. *Ann Intern Med*. 2014 Jan 7;160(1):1-10.
- Sandbæk A, Griffin SJ, Sharp SJ, et al. Effect of Early **Multifactorial Therapy Compared With Routine Care** on Microvascular Outcomes at 5 Years in People With Screen-Detected Diabetes: A Randomized Controlled Trial: The **ADDITION-Europe Study**. *Diabetes Care*. 2014 Jul;37(7):2015-23.
- Schellenberg ES, Dryden DM, Vandermeer B, et al. **Lifestyle Interventions for Patients With and at Risk for Type 2 Diabetes: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis**. *Ann Intern Med*. 2013 Oct 15;159(8):543-51.
- Scirica BM, Bhatt DL, Braunwald E, et al. **Saxagliptin and cardiovascular outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. (Savor-Timi 53)** *N Engl J Med* 2013; DOI:10.1056/NEJMoa1307684. Available here.
- Shurraw S, Hemmelgarn B, Lin M, et al; for the Alberta Kidney Disease Network. Association between **glycemic control and adverse outcomes** in people with diabetes mellitus and **chronic kidney disease**: a population-based cohort study. *Arch Intern Med*. 2011;171(21):1920-1927.
- Tikkanen-Dolenc H, Wadén J, Forsblom C, et al; **FinnDiane Study Group**. **Physical Activity Reduces Risk of Premature Mortality in Patients With Type 1 Diabetes With and Without Kidney Disease**. *Diabetes Care*. 2017 Oct 16.
- Tocci G, Paneni F, Palano F, et al. **Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme Inhibitors, Angiotensin II Receptor Blockers and Diabetes: A Meta-Analysis of Placebo-Controlled Clinical Trials**. *Am J Hypertens*. 2011 May;24(5):582-90.
- TODAY** Study Group. A clinical trial to maintain glycemic control in **youth with type 2 diabetes**. *N Engl J Med* 2012.
- Writing Committee for the Type 1 Diabetes **TrialNet Oral Insulin Study** Group, Krischer JP, Schatz DA, Bundy B, et al. Effect of **Oral Insulin on Prevention of Diabetes in Relatives of Patients With Type 1 Diabetes: A Randomized Clinical Trial**. *JAMA*. 2017 Nov 21;318(19):1891-1902.
- Yates T, Haffner SM, Schulte PJ, et al. Association between change in **daily ambulatory activity** and cardiovascular events in people with impaired glucose tolerance (NAVIGATOR trial): a cohort analysis. *Lancet* 2014; online Dec 20.
- Zhang H, Gao C, Fang L, Zhao HC, Yao SK. **Metformin and reduced risk of hepatocellular carcinoma** in diabetic patients: a meta-analysis. *Scand J Gastroenterol*. 2012 Nov 9.
- Zinman B, Harris SB, Gerstein HC, Young TK, Raboud JM, Neuman J, Hanley AJ. Preventing type 2 diabetes using combination therapy: design and methods of the **CANadian Normoglycaemia Outcomes Evaluation (CANOE)** trial. *Diabetes Obes Metab*. 2006 Sep;8(5):531-7.
- Zinman B, Harris SB, Neuman J, et al. Low-dose combination therapy with **rosiglitazone & metformin** to prevent type 2 diabetes mellitus (**CANOE**): A double-blind randomised controlled study. *Lancet* 2010; DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(10)60746-5.